arXiv:1704.05227v1 [math.DG] 18 Apr 2017

Inverse mean curvature flow in quaternionic hyperbolic space

Giuseppe Pipoli*

Abstract: In this paper we complete the study started in [Pi2] of evolution by inverse mean curvature flow of star-shaped hypersurface in non-compact rank one symmetric spaces. We consider the evolution by inverse mean curvature flow of a closed, mean convex and star-shaped hypersurface in the quaternionic hyperbolic space. We prove that the flow is defined for any positive time, the evolving hypersurface stays star-shaped and mean convex. Moreover the induced metric converges, after rescaling, to a conformal multiple of the standard sub-Riemannian metric on the sphere defined on a codimension 3 distribution. Finally we show that there exists a family of examples such that the qc-scalar curvature of this sub-Riemannian limit is not constant.

MSC 2010 subject classification 53C17, 53C40, 53C44.

1 Introduction

In this paper we complete the study started in [Pi2] of evolution by inverse mean curvature flow of star-shaped hypersurface in non-compact rank one symmetric spaces, studying the evolution in the quaternionic hyperbolic space $\mathbb{HH}^n$. The inverse mean curvature flow is the most studied example in the class of expanding flows. For any given smooth hypersurface $F_0 : \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{HH}^n$, the solution of the inverse mean curvature flow with initial datum F_0 is the smooth one-parameter family of smooth immersions $F : \mathcal{M} \times [0, T) \to \mathbb{HH}^n$ such that

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial F}{\partial t} &= \dfrac{1}{H}\nu, \\ F(\cdot, 0) &= F_0, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where H is the mean curvature of $F_t = F(\cdot, t)$ and ν is the outward unit normal vector of $\mathcal{M}_t = F_t(\mathcal{M})$. It is well known that this flow has a unique solution, defined at least for small times, if $\mathcal{M}_0 = F(\mathcal{M}, 0)$ is closed and mean convex. The behaviour of the evolution depends, of course, on the initial datum, but the geometry of the ambient manifold has a crucial role too. In fact Gerhardt proved in [Ge] that, for any star-shaped hypersurface of the Euclidean space, the limit metric is, up to rescaling, always the standard round

*This research is supported by the ERC Avanced Grant 320939, Geometry and Topology of Open Manifolds (GETOM)

metric on the sphere. In [HW] P.K. Hung and M.T. Wang showed that, when the ambient manifold is the hyperbolic space, the limit metric is not always round: it is a conformal multiple of the standard round metric on the sphere and so it is round only in special cases. More recently the author of the present paper studied the analogous problem in the complex hyperbolic space. In [Pi2] it has been proved that in this case a new phenomenon appears: even after rescaling, the evolving metric blows up along a direction. Hence the limit metric is no more Riemannian, but only sub-Riemannian defined only on a codimension-1 distribution. Moreover there are infinite examples of initial data such that the limit sub-Riemannian metric does not have constant scalar Webster curvature. Other examples of evolution by inverse mean curvature flow of star-shaped hypersurfaces can be found in [Di, Ne]. The main result proved in this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $n \geq 2$. For any $\mathcal{M}_0$ closed, mean convex and star-shaped hypersurface in $\mathbb{HH}^n$, let $\mathcal{M}_t$ be its evolution by inverse mean curvature flow and let g_t be the induced metric on $\mathcal{M}_t$. Then:*

(1) $\mathcal{M}_t$ is star-shaped for any time t;

(2) the flow is defined for any positive time;

(3) the rescaled induced metric $\tilde{g}_t = |\mathcal{M}_t|^{-\frac{1}{2n+1}} g_t$ converges as $t \to \infty$ to $\tilde{g}_\infty = e^{2f}\sigma_{sR}$ for some smooth function f, where σ_{sR} is the standard sub-Riemannian metric of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$ defined on a distribution of codimension 3;

(4) finally there are examples of $\mathcal{M}_0$ such that $\tilde{g}_\infty$ does not have constant qc-scalar curvature.

The fact that the maximal time is infinite implies that the evolving hypersurface becomes arbitrary large and "explores" the structure at infinity of the ambient manifold as t tends to infinity. Parts (3) and (4) of Theorem 1.1 say that different initial data explore the structure at infinity of $\mathbb{HH}^n$ in different ways, but the conformal class of σ_{sR} is preserved.

Since we are considering only closed star-shaped hypersurfaces, we know that any hypersurface is an embedding of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$ into $\mathbb{HH}^n$. The rescaled induced metrics define a family of Riemannian metrics on $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$. Analogously to what seen in [Pi2], this family of metrics diverges in some directions as the time goes to infinity. In our case we have 3 independent special directions: $J_1\nu$, $J_2\nu$ and $J_3\nu$, where J_1, J_2 and J_3 are the complex structures of $\mathbb{HH}^n$ induced by the multiplication by the three quaternionic imaginary unities. Hence the limit metric is only sub-Riemannian defined on a distribution of codimension 3. In this contest the best notion of curvature is the qc-curvature introduced by Biquard in [Bi]. It is easy to prove that the evolution of a geodesic sphere stays a geodesic sphere at any time: it is a peculiar behaviour of the Euclidean space and rank one symmetric spaces. Then, in this special case, the qc-curvature of the limit rescaled metric is constant. On the other hand the research of a hypersurface with non trivial limit is more difficult. The qc-Yamabe problem was solved by Ivanov, Minchev and Vassilev in [IMV]: they gave an explicit description of all the functions f such that $e^{2f}\sigma_{sR}$ has constant

qc-scalar curvature. With the help of this result we show that there are infinite examples of initial data such that $\tilde{g}_\infty$ has non constant qc-scalar curvature. Following the strategy introduced in [Pi2], the main tool is the following: for any star-shaped hypersurface $\mathcal{M}$ we define

$$Q(\mathcal{M}) = |\mathcal{M}|^{-1+\frac{1}{2n+1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(H - \hat{H}\right) d\mu,$$

where $|\mathcal{M}|$ is the volume of $\mathcal{M}$ and, if ρ is the radial function defining $\mathcal{M}$, $\hat{H}$ is the value of the mean curvature of a geodesic sphere of radius ρ (see (3.7) for the explicit definition). Q gives a measure of how $\mathcal{M}$ is far to being a geodesic sphere, however it isn't a real measure because, for example, Q does not have a sign. In the final section of this paper we found the desired non-trivial example in the class of $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant submanifolds estimating the behaviour of Q along the inverse mean curvature flow.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we collect some preliminaries and we fix some notation. In section 3 we compute the main geometric quantities for a star-shaped hypersurface in $\mathbb{HH}^n$, as the induced metric, the second fundamental form and the mean curvature. In section 4 we have ax explicit example, i.e. the evolution of the geodesic spheres. In section 5 we estimate the norm of the gradient of the radial function. As a consequence we have that the property of being star-shaped is preserved by the flow. In section 6 we prove that the solution of the flow is defined for any positive time. Section 7 is devoted to the proof of the convergence of the rescaled induced metric to a sub-Riemannian limit. Finally in section 8 we conclude the proof of Theorem 1.1 studying the Webster curvature of the limit metric and giving a family of non-trivial examples.

Remark 1.2 *With the same techniques, the analogous of Theorem 1.1 can be proved for closed, mean convex, star-shaped hypersurfaces in the Cayley plane $\mathbb{OP}^2$: in this case we have a convergence to a sub-Riemannian metric defined on a distribution of codimension 7.*

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Riemannian and sub-Riemannian metrics on the sphere

Every hypersurface considered in this paper is closed and star-shaped and so it is an embedding of $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$, the sphere of dimension $4n-1$, into $\mathbb{HH}^n$. On that sphere we have different "standard" metrics. Let σ be the usual round Riemannian metric on that sphere. We can distinguish three important vector fields: we can think the sphere embedded in $\mathbb{H}^n$ equipped with J_1, J_2 and J_3 the complex structures induced by the multiplication by the three quaternionic imaginary unities then. If ν is the unit outward normal to $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$, the $\xi_i = J_i\nu$ are three independent unit tangent vector field on the sphere. They are often called the *Hopf vector fields*, because they span the tangent space of the fibers of the Hopf fibration : $\pi : \mathbb{S}^{4n-1} \longrightarrow \mathbb{HP}^{n-1}$. They allow us to define the *vertical distribution* $\mathcal{V} = \langle \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \rangle$ and the *horizontal distribution* $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{V}^\perp$, the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{V}$ with respect to σ. The *Berger metrics* is a family of deformations of σ obtained with the

canonical deformation of π: for any $\lambda > 0$ let e_λ be the Riemannian metric defined by

$$\begin{cases} e_\lambda(X,Y) &= \sigma(X,Y) & \text{for any } X,\ Y \in \mathcal{H}; \\ e_\lambda(X,V) &= 0 & \text{for any } X \in \mathcal{H},\ V \in \mathcal{V}; \\ e_\lambda(V,W) &= \lambda\sigma(V,W) & \text{for any } V,\ W \in \mathcal{V}. \end{cases}$$

When λ converges to infinity, the metric e_λ degenerates on the vertical directions, hence the limit is defined only on $\mathcal{H}$, but, since $\mathcal{H}$ is braket generating, $\sigma_{sR} = \lim_{\lambda\to\infty} e_\lambda$ is a sub-Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$. We will call it the *standard sub-Riemannian metric*.

2.2 The Biquard connection and the qc-scalar curvature

The notion of *quaternionic contact structure* (qc-structure for short) has been introduced by Biquard in [Bi]. We refer also to the book [IV1] of Ivanov and Vassilev for further details. A qc-structure on a real $(4n-1)$-dimensional manifold $\mathcal{M}$ is a codimension 3 distribution $\mathcal{H}$ (called *horiziontal distribution*) locally given as the kernel of a 1-form $\eta = (\eta_1, \eta_2, \eta_3)$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^3$ such that the three 2-forms $d\eta_{i|\mathcal{H}}$ are the fundamental forms of a quaternionic Hermitian structure on $\mathcal{H}$. Such η is determined up to the action of $SO(3)$ on $\mathbb{R}^3$ and a conformal factor. Hence $\mathcal{H}$ is equipped with a conformal class $[g]$ of quaternionic Hermitian metrics. To every metric in the conformal class of g one can associate a linear connection with torsion preserving the qc-structure called the *Biquard connection*. It has been defined by Biquard in [Bi] if $n > 2$ and by Duchemin in [Du] if $n = 2$. They proved that this connection is unique. Using the Biquard connection we can define the qc-Ricci tensor as in [Bi]: it is a symmetric tensor and its trace is called the *qc-scalar curvature*. Once we know this new notion of curvature, we can define the *qc-Yamabe problem*: determinate if there exists a metric $e^{2f}g$ in the conformal class $[g]$ with constant qc-scalar curvature. This problem has been solved in great generality, see [IV2] for a survey. In our case $\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$, $g = \sigma_{sR}$ and for every i $\eta_i(\cdot) = \sigma(\xi_i, \cdot)$. In [IMV] Ivanov, Michev and Vassilev fully characterized the solution of the qc-Yamabe problem in the special case of the quaternionic Heisenberg group. As they noticed, with the Cayley transform, we can find the corresponding solutions on $(\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}, [\sigma_{sR}])$: $e^{2f}\sigma_{sR}$ has constant qc-scalar curvature if and only if there are c and u positive constants and $\zeta \in \mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$ such that

$$e^{-2f}(z) = c\left|\cosh(u) + \sinh(u) z \cdot \bar{\zeta}\right|^2, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{S}^{4n-1}, \tag{2.1}$$

Here we are considering the sphere of real codimension one immersed in $\mathbb{R}^{4n} \equiv \mathbb{H}^n$ and the norm and the product are the usual ones in $\mathbb{H}^n$.

2.3 Quaternionic hyperbolic space

The quaternionic hyperbolic space, like its real or complex analogous, can be defined in many equivalent ways. Since we wish to study star-shaped hypersurfaces, it is convenient introduce in polar coordinates. Let $\mathbb{HH}^n$ be $\mathbb{R}^{4n}$ equipped with the following $\bar{g}$:

$$\bar{g} = d\rho^2 + \sinh^2(\rho) e_{\cosh^2(\rho)},$$

where ρ represents the distance from the origin and $e_{\cosh^2(\rho)}$ is the Berger metric of parameter $\cosh^2(\rho)$ on $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$. Since the inverse mean curvature flow is invariant under the action of an isometry of the ambient space, all the hypersurfaces considered in this paper can be thought as star-shaped with respect to the origin of the polar coordinates. The metric $\bar{g}$ has some nice properties: for example its Riemann curvature tensor has the following explicit expression

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{R}(X,Y,Z,W) &= -\bar{g}(X,Z)\bar{g}(Y,W)+\bar{g}(X,W)\bar{g}(Y,Z)\\ &\quad -\sum_{i=1}^{3}\bar{g}(X,J_iZ)\bar{g}(Y,J_iW)+\bar{g}(X,J_iW)\bar{g}(Y,J_iZ)\\ &\quad -2\sum_{i=1}^{3}\bar{g}(X,J_iY)\bar{g}(Z,J_iW),\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where J_1, J_2 and J_3 are the complex structure of $\mathbb{HH}^n$ induced by the quaternionic imaginary units. It follows that the sectional curvature of a plane spanned by two orthonormal vectors X and Y is given by

$$\bar{K}(X\wedge Y) = -1-3\sum_{i=1}^{3}\bar{g}(X,J_iY)^2 = -1-3|pr_{Y\mathbb{H}}X|^2, \tag{2.3}$$

where $Y\mathbb{H}$ is the space spanned by J_iY and $pr_{Y\mathbb{H}}$ is the projection on that space. Then $-4\leq\bar{K}\leq -1$ and it is equal to -1 (respectively to -4) if and only if X is orthogonal (respectively parallel) to $Y\mathbb{H}$. Moreover $\mathbb{HH}^n$ is Einstein with $\bar{R}ic = -4(n+2)\bar{g}$ and symmetric, then $\bar{\nabla}\bar{R}=0$.

Here and in the following we are using the convention to put a bar over the symbol for geometric quantity of the fixed ambient manifold $\mathbb{HH}^n$, for example $\bar{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $\bar{g}$.

2.4 Inverse mean curvature flow

Since we are considering only closed and mean convex initial data, the standard theory ensures that the inverse mean curvature flow (1.1) has a unique smooth solution, at least for small times. Here we list the evolutions of the main geometric quantities. The proof of this Lemma is similar to the computation of the analogous equations for the mean curvature flow which can be found in [Hu]. We use the following notations: let g_{ij} be the induced metric, and g^{ij} its inverse; the second fundamental form is denoted with h_{ij}, while the mean curvature is $H=h_{ij}g^{ji}$. Finally $|\mathcal{M}_t|$ denotes the volume.

Lemma 2.1 *Since the ambient space is symmetric the following evolution equations hold:*

(1) $\dfrac{\partial g_{ij}}{\partial t} = \dfrac{2}{H}h_{ij},$

(2) $\dfrac{\partial g^{ij}}{\partial t} = -\dfrac{2}{H}h^{ij},$

(3) $\dfrac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \dfrac{\Delta H}{H^2} - 2\dfrac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^3} - \dfrac{|A|^2}{H} - \dfrac{\bar{R}ic(\nu,\nu)}{H},$

(4) $$\frac{\partial h_{ij}}{\partial t} = \frac{\Delta h_{ij}}{H^2} - \frac{2}{H^3}\nabla_i H \nabla_j H + \frac{|A|^2}{H^2}h_{ij} - \frac{2}{H}\bar{R}_{i0j0} + \bar{R}ic(\nu,\nu)\frac{h_{ij}}{H^2}$$
$$+ \frac{1}{H^2}g^{lr}g^{ms}\left(2\bar{R}_{risj}h_{lm} - \bar{R}_{rmis}h_{jl} - \bar{R}_{rmjs}h_{il}\right),$$

(5) $$\frac{\partial h_i^j}{\partial t} = \frac{\Delta h_i^j}{H^2} - \frac{2}{H^3}\nabla_i H \nabla_k H g^{kj} + \frac{|A|^2}{H^2}h_i^j - \frac{2}{H}\bar{R}_{i0k0}g^{kj} - 2\frac{h_i^k h_k^j}{H}$$
$$+ \bar{R}ic(\nu,\nu)\frac{h_i^j}{H^2} + \frac{1}{H^2}g^{lr}g^{ms}g^{kj}\left(2\bar{R}_{risk}h_{lm} - \bar{R}_{rmis}h_{kl} - \bar{R}_{rmks}h_{il}\right),$$

(6) $$\frac{d\,|\mathcal{M}_t|}{dt} = |\mathcal{M}_t|,$$

(7) $$\frac{\partial \nu}{\partial t} = \frac{\nabla H}{H^2}.$$

Here and in the following we are using Einstein convention on repeted indices. Moreover the operation of raising/lowering the indices is done with respect to the metric: for example $h_i^j = h_{ik}g^{kj}$. Note that, integrating equation (6), we have that the inverse mean curvature flow is en expanding flow, precisely $|\mathcal{M}_t| = |\mathcal{M}_0|\, e^t$.

3 Geometry of star-shaped hypersurfaces

In this section we compute the main geometric quantities for a star-shaped hypersurface in $\mathbb{HH}^n$. Let $F : \mathbb{S}^{4n-1} \to \mathbb{HH}^n$ be a smooth star-shaped immersion. Up to an isometry of the ambient space, we can consider that it is star-shaped with respect to the origin. Then F is defined by its radial function: there exist a smooth function $\rho : \mathbb{S}^{4n-1} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that in polar coordinates $\mathcal{M} = F(\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}) = \{(z, \rho(z)) \in \mathbb{HH}^n \,|\, z \in \mathbb{S}^{4n-1}\}$. Fix any $(Y_1, \dots, Y_{4n-1})$ tangent basis of the sphere $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$, for every i we define $\rho_i = Y_i(\rho)$ and $V_i = F_* Y_i \equiv Y_i + \rho_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \rho}$. Then $(V_1, \dots, V_{4n-1})$ is a tangent basis of $\mathcal{M}$. The induced metric on $\mathcal{M}$ is $g = F^*\bar{g}$, in local coordinates we have

$$g_{ij} = \rho_i \rho_j + \sinh^2(\rho) e_{ij}, \tag{3.1}$$

where for short $e_{ij} = (e_{\cosh^2(\rho)})_{ij}$. The inverse of the metric therefore is

$$g^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sinh^2(\rho)}\left(e^{ij} - \frac{\rho^i \rho^j}{\sinh^2(\rho) + |\nabla \rho|^2}\right), \tag{3.2}$$

where e^{ij} is the inverse of e_{ij}, $\rho^i = \rho_k e^{ki}$ and the gradient and the norm of ρ are defined with respect to the metric $e_{\cosh^2(\rho)}$. In order to simplify the expressions we can fix a function $\varphi = \varphi(\rho)$ such that $\frac{d\varphi}{d\rho} = \frac{1}{\sinh(\rho)}$ and introduce $v = \sqrt{1 + \frac{|\nabla \rho|^2}{\sinh^2(\rho)}}$. Since $\varphi_i = Y_i(\varphi) = \frac{\rho_i}{\sinh(\rho)}$, we get $g_{ij} = \sinh^2(\rho)(\varphi_i \varphi_j + e_{ij})$, $g^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sinh^2(\rho)}\left(e^{ij} - \frac{\varphi^i \varphi^j}{v^2}\right)$ and $v = \sqrt{1 + |\nabla \varphi|^2}$. A unit normal vector is $\nu = \frac{1}{v}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \rho} - \frac{\nabla \rho}{\sinh^2(\rho)}\right)$.

Now we want to compute the second fundamental form of $\mathcal{M}$. Since the metric of the ambient space is not the same in any direction, it is convenient to introduce a specific basis tangent to $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}$. For brevity of notation, let us first define the following set: $\underline{3\big\rfloor} := \{1,2,3\}$. Then let us define $(Y_1,\dots,Y_{4n-1})$, where $Y_i = \xi_i$ if $i \in \underline{3\big\rfloor}$ and $(Y_4,\dots,Y_{4n-1})$ is $e_{\cosh^2(\rho)}$-orthonormal and normal to each Y_i. In this way we have

$$e_{\cosh^2(\rho)} = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh^2(\rho) id_3 & 0 \\ 0 & id_{4n-4} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{3.3}$$

where id_k is the identity matrix of order k. For each i and j let $h_{ij} = -\bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{V_i} V_j, \nu\right)$. Moreover we introduce the following notation: Latin indices $i,j,...$ range from 1 to $2n-1$ and are related to components tangent to the sphere, the index 0 represents the radial direction $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}$ and Greek indices $\alpha,\beta,...$ range from 0 to $2n-1$. An explicit computation, together to the fact that for every i $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}(\rho_i) = 0$ and $\bar{g}(\bar{\nabla}_{Y_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}, \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}) = 0$ we get:

$$h_{ij} = \frac{1}{v}\left(\bar{\Gamma}^k_{ij}\rho_k + \rho_i\rho_k\bar{\Gamma}^k_{0j} + \rho_j\rho_k\bar{\Gamma}^k_{0i} - \bar{\Gamma}^0_{ij} - Y_i(\rho_j)\right).$$

We have that $\bar{\Gamma}^k_{ij} = \Gamma'^k_{ij}$, the Christoffel symbols of the metric $e_{\cosh^2(\rho)}$, then $\bar{\Gamma}^k_{ij}\rho_k - Y_i(\rho_j) = \rho_{ij}$. For short, let Y_0 be $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Gamma}^0_{ij} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(Y_i(\bar{g}_{i\alpha}) - Y_\alpha(\bar{g}_{ij}) + Y_j(\bar{g}_{i\alpha})\right)\bar{g}^{\alpha 0} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}(\bar{g}_{ij}) = -\gamma_i\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)(\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho))\delta_{ij} & \text{if } i \in \underline{3\big\rfloor}, \\ -\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)\delta_{ij} & \text{if } i \notin \underline{3\big\rfloor}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{\Gamma}^k_{i0} &= \frac{1}{2}\left(Y_i\left(\bar{g}_{0\alpha}\right) - Y_\alpha\left(\bar{g}_{i0}\right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left(\bar{g}_{i\alpha}\right)\right)\bar{g}^{\alpha k} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left(\bar{g}_{i\alpha}\right)\bar{g}^{\alpha k} = \eta_i\delta_{ik} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho)}{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}\delta_{ik} & \text{if } i \in \underline{3\big\rfloor}, \\ \dfrac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh(\rho)}\delta_{ik} & \text{if } i \notin \underline{3\big\rfloor}. \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\varphi_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sinh(\rho)}\rho_{ij} - \frac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh^2(\rho)}\rho_i\rho_j \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \rho_{ij} = \sinh(\rho)\varphi_{ij} + \sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)\varphi_i\varphi_j.$$

Summing up these quantities we get

$$h_{ij} = -\frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v}\varphi_{ij} + \begin{cases} \dfrac{\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}g_{ij} + \dfrac{\sinh^3(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)}\varphi_i\varphi_j & \text{if } i,j \in \underline{3\big\rfloor}, \\ \dfrac{\cosh(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)}g_{ij} & \text{if } i,j \notin \underline{3\big\rfloor}, \\ \dfrac{\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}g_{ij} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{3.4}$$

Raising the second index we have

$$h_i^k = -\frac{\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{jk}}{v\sinh(\rho)} + \begin{cases} \dfrac{\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}\delta_i^k + \dfrac{\sinh(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)}\displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{3}\varphi_i\varphi_j\tilde{e}^{jk} & \text{if } i \in \underline{3\,\big|}\,, \\ \dfrac{\cosh(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)}\delta_i^k + \dfrac{\sinh(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)}\displaystyle\sum_{j=1}^{3}\tilde{e}_{ij}\tilde{e}^{jk} & \text{if } i \notin \underline{3\,\big|}\,, \end{cases} \tag{3.5}$$

where $\tilde{e}^{ij} = \sinh^2(\rho)g^{ij} = e^{ij} - \frac{\varphi^i\varphi^j}{v^2}$ Taking the trace of (3.5) we obtain the mean curvature of $\mathcal{M}$:

$$\begin{aligned} H &= h_i^i \\ &= -\frac{\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ji}}{v\sinh(\rho)} + 3\frac{\sinh^2(\rho)+\cosh^2(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)} + 4(n-1)\frac{\cosh(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)} \\ &\quad + \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\varphi_i\varphi_k\tilde{e}^{ik} + \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\tilde{e}_{ik}\tilde{e}^{ik} \\ &= -\frac{\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ji}}{v\sinh(\rho)} + 3\frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)} + (4n-1)\frac{\cosh(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)} \\ &\quad + 3\frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)}\tilde{e}_{i1}\tilde{e}^{i1} - \frac{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}{v}\sum_{k=1}^{3}\tilde{e}^{kk} \\ &= -\frac{\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ji}}{v\sinh(\rho)} + \frac{\hat{H}}{v} + \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v^3\cosh(\rho)}\sum_{k=1}^{3}(\varphi_k)^2, \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\hat{H} = \hat{H}(\rho) = (2n-1)\frac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh(\rho)} + \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{\cosh(\rho)} = \frac{2n\cosh^2(\rho)-1}{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}. \tag{3.7}$$

For the sake of clarity, we point out that in the above formula, the Einstein convention is used for all repeated indices except that, for k which belongs to $\underline{3\,\big|}$.

4 The case of geodesic spheres

If the radial function ρ is constant, $\mathcal{M}_0$ is, of course, a geodesic sphere. From (3.5) we can see that $\mathcal{M}_0$ has two distinct principal curvatures: $\lambda(\rho) = \frac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh(\rho)}$ with multiplicity $4n-4$ and $\mu(\rho) = 2\coth(2\rho) = \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{\cosh(\rho)}$ with multiplicity 3 and eigenvectors ξ_i, with $i \in \underline{3\,\big|}$. It follows that the mean curvature is costant and depends only on the radius. Then the evolution of $\mathcal{M}_0$ by inverse mean curvature flow reduces to an ODE: the evolution on $\mathcal{M}_0$ is a family of geodesic spheres $\mathcal{M}_t$ of radius $\rho(t)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\rho} = \frac{1}{H} = \frac{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}{(4n-1)\cosh^2(\rho)+3\sinh^2(\rho)}, \\ \rho(0) = \rho_0. \end{cases}$$

Trying to solve this ODE we can see that the solution is defined for any positive time and $\rho(t) = \frac{t}{2(2n+1)} + o(1)$ as $t \to \infty$. The rescaled induce metric is

$$\tilde{g}_t = |\mathcal{M}_t|^{-\frac{1}{2n+1}} g = \frac{\sinh^2(\rho(t))}{|\mathcal{M}_0|^{\frac{1}{2n+1}} e^{\frac{t}{2n+1}}} e_{\cosh^2(\rho(t))}.$$

Since in this special case ρ depends only on time, we have that $\tilde{g}_t$ converges to $\tilde{g}_\infty = c^2 \sigma_{sR}$, where c in a constant different from zero. We can see for the first time the phenomenon announced in the statement of Theorem 1.1: the rescaled metric converge to a sub-Riemannian metric defined only on a distribution of codimension 3. Moreover the qc-curvature of this metric is constant.

The following result is useful to bound the evolution of the radial function in the general case.

Lemma 4.1 *Consider two concentric geodesic spheres in $\mathbb{CH}^n$ of radius $\rho_1(0)$ and $\rho_2(0)$ respectively. For every $i = 1, 2$, let $\rho_i(t)$ be the evolution by inverse mean curvature flow of initial datum $\rho_i(0)$, then there exist a positive constant c depending only on n, $\rho_1(0)$ and $\rho_2(0)$ such that for every time we have*

$$|\rho_2(t) - \rho_1(t)| \leq c\, |\rho_2(0) - \rho_1(0)|\,.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\rho_2(0) > \rho_1(0)$ and let us define $\delta = \delta(t) = \rho_2(t) - \rho_1(t)$. The proof is similar to that of Lemma 4.1 of [Pi2], taking into account that this time the function δ satisfies

$$\frac{d\delta}{dt} = \frac{1}{(4n-1)\coth(\rho_2) + 3\tanh(\rho_2)} - \frac{1}{(4n-1)\coth(\rho_1) + 3\tanh(\rho_1)}.$$

□

From these properties of the geodesic spheres we can deduce some estimates on the evolution of the general case: we can bound the inverse mean curvature flow of a general star-shaped hypersurface $\mathcal{M}_0$ with the evolution of geodesic sphere inside and a geodesic sphere outside. Applying Lemma 4.1 we have that the oscillation of the radial function of $\mathcal{M}_0$ is bounded by a constant which depends only on the initial datum. Below we will show that the flow is defined for any positive time also for any star-shaped initial datum. It follows that in any case we have $\rho(t) = \frac{t}{2(2n+1)} + o(1)$ as $t \to \infty$.

5 First order estimates

The main result of this section is the proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.1. Moreover we will prove also that the mean curvature is bounded. The main technical result is the following:

Theorem 5.1 *There exist two positive constants c and γ such that*

$$|\nabla \varphi|^2_\sigma \leq c e^{-\gamma t},$$

where the gradient and the norm are taken with respect to σ.

This result has an immediate geometric consequence the proof of part (1) of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 5.2 *The evolution of any star-shaped hypersurface stays star-shaped for any time the flow is defined.*

Proof. An hypersurface is star-shaped if and only if $\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}$ and ν are never orthogonal in $\mathbb{CH}^n$. This means that there exists a positive constant c such that

$$\bar{g}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho},\nu\right)=\frac{1}{v}\geq\frac{1}{c}\quad\Leftrightarrow\quad v^2\leq c^2$$

Recalling that $v^2=1+|\nabla\varphi|_e^2$, the thesis follows from Theorem 5.1 noting that $|\nabla\varphi|_e^2\leq|\nabla\varphi|_\sigma^2$. □

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is divided into two steps: first we can prove that $|\nabla\varphi|_\sigma^2$ is bounded, then that it has an exponential decay. In the next section we will improve again this result showing that $\gamma=\frac{1}{2n+1}$.

Lemma 5.3

$$|\nabla\varphi|_\sigma^2\leq\sup_{z\in\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}}|\nabla\varphi(z,0)|_\sigma^2\,.$$

Proof. Let us define $\omega=\frac{1}{2}|\nabla\varphi|_\sigma^2$. We want to compute the evolution equation for ω. We have

$$\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}=\frac{v}{H},\tag{5.1}$$

and so

$$\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}=\frac{1}{\sinh(\rho)}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t}=\frac{v}{H\sinh(\rho)}=:\frac{1}{F}\tag{5.2}$$

holds. From the explicit computation of the mean curvature (3.6) we have

$$F=F(\varphi,\varphi_i,\varphi_{ij})=\frac{-\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ij}}{v^2}+\frac{\sinh(\rho)\hat{H}}{v^2}+\frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{v^4\cosh(\rho)}\sum_{k=1}^{3}(\varphi_k)^2.$$

Now we can compute the evolution equation of ω: let $a^{ij}=-\frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi_{ij}}=\frac{\tilde{e}^{ij}}{v^2}$ and $a^i=\frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi_i}$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial\omega}{\partial t}&=D^k\varphi D_k\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\\&=-\frac{1}{F^2}\left(-a^{ij}D_k\varphi_{ij}D^k\varphi+a^iD_k\varphi_iD^k\varphi+\frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi}D_k\varphi D^k\varphi\right)\\&=-\frac{1}{F^2}\left(-a^{ij}D_k\varphi_{ij}D^k\varphi+a^iD_i\omega+2\frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi}\omega\right)\end{aligned}$$

We can apply the rule for interchanging derivatives:

$$D_k\varphi_{ij} = D_kD_iD_j\varphi = D_jD_iD_k\varphi + R^m{}_{ijk}D_m\varphi,$$

where this time R is the Riemannian curvature tensor of σ, i.e. $R_{sijk} = \sigma_{sj}\sigma_{ik} - \sigma_{sk}\sigma_{ij}$. Since a^{ij} is symmetric we get:

$$\begin{aligned} -a^{ij}D_k\varphi_{ij}D^k\varphi &= -a^{ij}D_jD_iD_k\varphi D^k\varphi - a^{ij}\left(\delta^m_j\sigma_{ik} - \delta^m_k\sigma_{ij}\right)D_m\varphi D^k\varphi \\ &= -a^{ij}D_iD_j\omega + a^{ij}D_iD_k\varphi D_jD^k\varphi - a^{ij}D_i\varphi D_j\varphi + 2a^i_i\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi} &= \frac{\partial F}{\partial\rho}\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial\varphi} = \sinh(\rho)\left[\frac{1}{v^4}\sum_{k=1}^3(\varphi_k)^2\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left(\frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{\cosh(\rho)}\right) + \frac{1}{v^2}\frac{\partial}{\partial\rho}\left(\sinh(\rho)\hat{H}\right)\right] \\ &= \frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{v^2\cosh^2(\rho)}\left(\frac{\cosh^2(\rho)+1}{v^2}\sum_{k=1}^3(\varphi_k)^2 + 2(2n+1)\cosh^2(\rho) + 3\right) > 0. \quad (5.3) \end{aligned}$$

We have that $a^{ij} = \frac{\bar{e}^{ij}}{v^2}$ is positive definite. Finally we have that

$$a^{ij}D_iD_k\varphi D_jD^k\varphi = a^{ij}\sigma^{kl}D_iD_k\varphi D_jD_l\varphi \geq 0$$

because, as showed in [Di], if A, B and C are symmetric matrices, with A and B positive definite, then $tr(ACBC) \geq 0$. The thesis follow by the maximum principle. □

Lemma 5.4 *There exist two positive constants C_2 and C_3 depending only on n and the initial datum such that for any time the flow is defined*

$$C_2 \leq H \leq C_3$$

Proof. From Lemma 2.1 and the fact that $|A|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4n-1}H^2$ we can compute

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial t} \leq \frac{\Delta H}{H^2} - \frac{H}{4n-1} + \frac{4(n+2)}{H}.$$

By the maximum principle, it is easy to show that H is bounded from above. To prove that H is bounded from below we adapt the proof of Lemma 5.4 of [Pi2] in the new setting: this time we define $\psi = \frac{v}{\sinh(\rho)H}e^{\frac{t}{2(2n+1)}} = \frac{1}{F}e^{\frac{t}{2(2n+1)}} = \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}e^{\frac{t}{2(2n+1)}}$. We want to prove that this function is bounded from above. Preceding like in the proof of Lemma 5.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}e^{\frac{t}{2(2n+1)}}\right) \\ &= -\frac{1}{F^2}\left(-a^{ij}\frac{\partial\varphi_{ij}}{\partial t} + a^i\frac{\partial\varphi_i}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi}\frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial t}\right)e^{\frac{t}{2(2n+1)}} + \frac{1}{2(2n+1)}\psi \\ &= -\frac{1}{F^2}\left(-a^{ij}\psi_{ij} + a^i\psi_i + \frac{\partial F}{\partial\varphi}\psi\right) + \frac{1}{2(2n+1)}\psi \end{aligned}$$

From (5.3) we have that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi} \geq 2(2n+1)\frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{v^2}$, moreover $\frac{1}{F^2} = \psi^2 e^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}$. By Lemma 5.3 v^2 is bounded. Since the function $\sinh^2(\rho)e^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}$ is bounded too, we get that

$$-\frac{1}{F^2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi}\psi + \frac{1}{2(2n+1)}\psi \leq -c\psi^3 + \frac{1}{2(2n+1)}\psi,$$

for some positive constant c. By the maximum principle we deduce that $\psi = \frac{v}{\sinh(\rho)H}e^{\frac{t}{2(2n+1)}}$ is bounded. This imply that there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $H \geq cv\frac{e^{\frac{t}{2(2n+1)}}}{\sinh(\rho)}$. The thesis follows since $v \geq 1$ by definition and $\frac{e^{\frac{t}{2(2n+1)}}}{\sinh(\rho)}$ is bounded. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Since we proved that H is bounded and the inequality (5.3) we have that $\frac{2}{F^2}\frac{\partial F}{\partial \varphi} \geq \gamma > 0$ for some γ. Proceeding like in the proof of Lemma 5.3 we get the thesis. □

6 Second order estimates

In this section we prove part (2) of Theorem 1.1, showing that the principal curvatures of the evolving hypersurface are uniformly bounded. As a consequence we are able to improve the results of the previous sections: we will prove the mean curvature tends exponentially fast to $2(2n+1)$ (the value of the mean curvature of an horosphere) and that $|\nabla\varphi|^2_\sigma \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}$. Let us define the tensor $M^j_i = Hh^j_i$, its evolution equation was computed in Lemma 6.1 of [Pi2]:

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial M^j_i}{\partial t} &= \frac{\Delta M^j_i}{H^2} - \frac{2}{H^3}\langle \nabla H, \nabla M^j_i\rangle - \frac{2}{H^3}\nabla_i H \nabla_k H g^{kj} - 2\frac{M^k_i M^j_k}{H^2} - 2\bar{R}_{i0k0}g^{kj} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{H^2}g^{qs}g^{kj}\left(2\bar{R}_{pisk}M^p_q - \bar{R}_{pqis}M^p_k - \bar{R}_{pqks}M^p_i\right). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Proposition 6.1 *The principal curvatures of the hypersurface evolving by inverse mean curvature flow are uniformly bounded.*

Proof. Since by Lemma 5.4 H is bounded (in particular from below), it is sufficient to prove that the principal curvatures are bounded from above. Let $m = 4n-1$ and $\mu_1 \leq \cdots \leq \mu_m$ the eigenvalues of M^j_i. The trace of M^j_i is $\sum_i \mu_i = H^2 > 0$, then $\mu_m > 0$ everywhere. To conclude the proof we want to show that μ_m is bounded from above. Fix any time T^* strictly smaller than the maximal time T. We can find a point (x_0, t_0) where μ_m reaches its maximum in $\mathbb{S}^{4n-1} \times [0, T^*]$. At this point we can fix an orthonormal basis which diagonalizes M^j_i, then we can say that at this point μ_m satisfies the same equation for M^m_m (6.1). The following estimates hold:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{2}{H^2}\nabla_m H \nabla_k H g^{km} &= -\frac{2}{H^2}(\nabla_m H)^2 \leq 0, \\ -\bar{R}_{m0j0}g^{jm} &= -\bar{R}_{m0m0} \leq 4, \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} g^{qs}g^{km}\left(2\bar{R}_{pmsk}M^p_q - \bar{R}_{pqms}M^p_k - \bar{R}_{pqks}M^p_m\right) &= 2\sum_q \bar{R}_{qmqm}\left(\mu_q - \mu_m\right) \\ &\leq -8\sum_q \left(\mu_q - \mu_m\right) \\ &= 8(4n-1)\mu_m - 8H^2. \end{aligned}$$

Putting together these computations we have that at (x_0, t_0)

$$\frac{\partial \mu_m}{\partial t} \leq \frac{\Delta \mu_m}{H^2} - \frac{2}{H^3}\left\langle \nabla H, \nabla \mu_m \right\rangle - \frac{2\mu_m}{H^2}\left(\mu_m - 4(4n-1)\right).$$

If $t_0 \neq 0$ we can deduce that in (x_0, t_0)

$$0 \leq \frac{\partial \mu_m}{\partial t} - \frac{\Delta \mu_m}{H^2} + \frac{2}{H^3}\left\langle \nabla H, \nabla \mu_m \right\rangle \leq -\frac{2\mu_m}{H^2}\left(\mu_m - 4(4n-1)\right).$$

Since μ_m is positive, it follows that $\mu_m \leq 4(4n-1)$. Hence μ_m reaches its maximum at time $t_0 = 0$ or it is bounded by a constant independent on the choice of T^*. □

It follows the uniform parabolicity of equation (5.1) and a uniform C^2-estimate for the function $\rho(\cdot, t)$. Arguing as in chapter 2.6 of [Ge], we can apply the $C^{2,\alpha}$ estimates of [Kr] to conclude that the solution of the flow is defined for any positive time and it is smooth, since the initial datum is smooth.

As a consequence we can prove the following result.

Proposition 6.2 *There are some positive constants c and γ such that $|H - 2(2n+1)| \leq ce^{-\gamma t}$.*

Proof. By (3.6) and the triangle inequality we have:

$$|H - 2(2n+1)| \leq \left|\frac{\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ij}}{v\sinh(\rho)}\right| + \left|\frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v^3\cosh(\rho)}(\varphi_1)^2\right| + \frac{1}{v}\left|\hat{H} - 2(2n+1)\right| + 2(2n+1)\left|\frac{1}{v} - 1\right|.$$

Since we know that $v \geq 1$ and ρ grows like $\frac{t}{2(2n+1)}$, using Theorem 5.1 we can deduce:

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi_1)^2 &\leq |\nabla\varphi|^2_\sigma \leq ce^{-\gamma t}; \\ \left|\hat{H} - 2(2n+1)\right| &= \left|\frac{\left(\sinh(\rho) - \cosh(\rho)\right)\left(3\sinh(\rho) - (4n-1)\cosh(\rho)\right)}{\sinh(\rho)\cosh(\rho)}\right| \leq ce^{-\gamma t}; \\ \left|\frac{1}{v} - 1\right| &= \frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2_e}{v(v+1)} \leq \frac{|\nabla\varphi|^2_\sigma}{v(v+1)} \leq ce^{-\gamma t}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, since the solution if smooth for any time, $|\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ij}|$ is bounded and we get the thesis. □

Once we know the speed of convergence of H, we are able to improve Theorem 5.1 and give the exponential decay of other quantities useful for the last section.

Theorem 6.3 *There is a positive constant c such that*

(1) $|\nabla\varphi|^2_\sigma \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}$.

(2) $\left|\frac{1}{v} - 1\right| \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}$;

(3) $\varphi_{ij}\varphi_{kh}\tilde{e}^{ik}\tilde{e}^{jh} \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}$;

(4) $|H - 2(2n+1)| \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}$.

The proof of this Theorem is the same, up to minor modifications, to the proof of Theorem 6.4 of [Pi2].

7 Convergence of the rescaled metric

In this section prove part *(3)* of Theorem 1.1 studying the limit of the rescaled metric.

Theorem 7.1 *The metric $\tilde{g}_t = |\mathcal{M}_t|^{-\frac{1}{2n+1}} g_t$ converges to the sub-Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}_\infty = e^{2f}\sigma_{sR}$, for some smooth function f.*

Proof. For every time t, let $\tilde{\rho}_t$ be the radius of a geodesic sphere $B_{\tilde{\rho}_t}$ such that $|\mathcal{M}_t| = |B_{\tilde{\rho}_t}|$ and define $f_t = \rho(x,t) - \tilde{\rho}_t$. We recall that $g_{ij} = \sinh^2(\rho)\,(\varphi_i\varphi_j + e_{ij})$ and $\tilde{\rho} = \frac{t}{2(2n+1)} + o(1)$ as $t \to \infty$. Obviously $e_{\cosh^2(\rho)} \to \sigma_{sR}$ as $t \to \infty$. In Theorem 5.1 we proved that $|\nabla\varphi|_\sigma \to 0$ as $t \to \infty$, then in particular for each i φ_i converges to zero. Moreover there exists a constant c which depends only on n and $\mathcal{M}_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{t\to\infty} \tilde{g}_t &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{M}_0|^{\frac{1}{2n+1}}}\left(\lim_{t\to\infty} \sinh^2(\rho)e^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}\right)\sigma_{sR} \\ &= c\left(\lim_{t\to\infty} e^{2f_t}\right)\sigma_{sR}.\end{aligned}$$

The thesis follows if we can prove that f_t converges, as t goes to infinity, to a smooth functions f_∞, then f will be a multiple of such f_∞. Obviously the mean curvature of $B_{\tilde{\rho}_t}$ is $\tilde{H} = \hat{H}(\tilde{\rho})$. By (5.1) we have that

$$\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} - \frac{d\tilde{\rho}_t}{dt} = \frac{v}{H} - \frac{1}{\tilde{H}}$$

We claim that there exist c and γ positive constants such that $\left|\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}\right| \leq ce^{-\gamma t}$, then with standard methods we can prove the existence of a smooth limit f_∞. Using triangle inequality we have

$$\left|\frac{\partial f_t}{\partial t}\right| \leq \frac{1}{H}|v-1| + \frac{1}{H\tilde{H}}\left(|H-2(2n+1)| + \left|\tilde{H}-2(2n+1)\right|\right).$$

Since we know that H, $\tilde{H}$ and v are bounded and positive, the thesis follows by Proposition 6.2 and some computations similar of those of the proof of Proposition 6.2. □

8 The curvature of the limit metric

In this section we want to show that the limit metric $\tilde{g}_\infty = e^{2f}\sigma_{sR}$ has not necessarily constant qc- curvature. The formula of Ivanov, Minchev and Vassilev (2.1) can be simplified imposing some symmetries. With some trivial computations similar to those of Lemma 8.1 of [Pi2], we can show that the following are equivalent:

Lemma 8.1 *Let $f : \mathbb{S}^{4n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ be an $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant function.*

(a) f satisfies (2.1),

(b) f is constant.

Note that, with the same proof of Lemma 3.1 of [Pi1], we can prove that the evolution of an $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant star-shaped hypersurface stays $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant during the whole duration of the flow. Hence, in view of the previous Lemma, we restrict our attention to a class of $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant star-shaped initial data.

It is well known that in $\mathbb{HH}^n$ there are no totally umbilical hypersurfaces, so the trace-free part of the second fundamental form cannot have the same strong meaning that it has in the case of hyperbolic space: see Propositions 3 and 5 of [HW] . Following the ideas developed in [Pi2], for any star-shaped hypersuface $\mathcal{M}$ we introduce the following quantity:

$$Q(\mathcal{M}) = |\mathcal{M}|^{-1+\frac{1}{2n+1}} \int_{\mathcal{M}} \left(H - \hat{H}\right) d\mu, \tag{8.1}$$

where $\hat{H}$ was defined in (3.7). Q gives a measure of how $\mathcal{M}$ is far from being a geodesic sphere. However Q is not a real measure, because we it hasn't a sign and, even if it is trivially zero when $\mathcal{M}$ is a geodesic sphere, in general it is not true the contrary. One of the main property of Q is the following.

Proposition 8.2 *Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\tau$ be a family of hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{HH}^n$ that are radial graph of the functions $\tilde{\rho}(z,\tau) = \tau + f(z) + o(1)$, for some fixed $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant function $f : \mathbb{S}^{4n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{\tau\to\infty} Q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\tau) \;=\; & \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}} e^{2(2n+1)f} d\sigma\right)^{-1+\frac{1}{2n+1}} \\ & * \int_{\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}} e^{(2(2n+1))f}\left(e^{-f}\Delta_\sigma e^{-f} - (2n+1)\left|\nabla_\sigma e^{-f}\right|^2\right) d\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Moreover if $\lim_{\tau\to\infty} Q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\tau) \neq 0$*, then* $e^{2f}\sigma_{sR}$ *- the limit of the rescaled metric on* $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\tau$ *- does not have constant qc-curvature.*

Proof. First of all, note that, since we are considering only $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant hypersurfaces, the contribution of the special directions is ruled out and then we can consider the usual Rieamannian Lapacian and the volume form associated to σ even if we know that the limit metric is sub-Riemannian. From the expression of the mean curvature of a star-shaped hypersurface (3.6) we have that, since the hypersurface is $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant

$$H - \hat{H} = -\frac{\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ij}}{v\sinh(\rho)} + \hat{H}\left(\frac{1}{v} - 1\right).$$

Since $\tilde{\rho}_i = \tilde{\rho}_{ij} = f_1 = f_{ij} = 0$ for every j and for every $i \in \underline{3}$, preceding like in Section 2, we can compute:

$$\begin{aligned}
v^2 &= 1 + \frac{1}{\sinh^2(\tilde{\rho})}|D\tilde{\rho}|^2_\sigma \\
&= 1 + O(e^{-\frac{\tau}{2n+1}}); \\
\hat{H} &= 2(2n+1) + O(e^{-\frac{\tau}{2n+1}}) \\
\tilde{e}^{ij} &= e^{ij}_{\cosh^2(\tilde{\rho})} - \frac{\tilde{\rho}^i\tilde{\rho}^j}{\sinh^2(\tilde{\rho}) + |D\tilde{\rho}|^2_\sigma} \\
&= e^{ij}_{\cosh^2(\tilde{\rho})} + O(e^{-\frac{\tau}{2n+1}}); \\
\varphi_i &= \frac{\tilde{\rho}_i}{\sinh(\tilde{\rho})} = \frac{e^f}{\sinh(\tilde{\rho})}\left(D_i e^{-f} + o(1)\right); \\
\varphi_{ij} &= \frac{1}{\sinh(\tilde{\rho})}\left(\tilde{\rho}_{ij} - \frac{\cosh(\tilde{\rho})}{\sinh(\tilde{\rho})}\tilde{\rho}_i\tilde{\rho}_j\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{\sinh(\tilde{\rho})}\left(f_{ij} - f_i f_j + o(1)\right) \\
&= -\frac{e^f}{\sinh(\tilde{\rho})}\left(D_{ij}e^{-f} + o(1)\right).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\tau\to\infty} Q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\tau) &= \lim_{\tau\to\infty}\left[\left(\int \sinh^{4n-1}(\tilde{\rho})\cosh^3(\tilde{\rho})d\mu_\tau\right)^{-1+\frac{1}{2n+1}}\right. \\
&\qquad \left.* \int\left(\sinh^{4n-1}(\tilde{\rho})\cosh^3(\tilde{\rho})\left(-\frac{\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ij}}{v\sinh(\tilde{\rho})} - \frac{\hat{H}}{v(v+1)}(v^2-1)\right)\right)d\mu_\tau\right] \\
&= \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}} e^{2(2n+1)f}d\sigma\right)^{-1+\frac{1}{2n+1}} \\
&\qquad * \int_{\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}} e^{(2(2n+1))f}\left(e^{-f}\Delta_\sigma e^{-f} - (2n+1)\left|\nabla_\sigma e^{-f}\right|^2\right)d\sigma.
\end{aligned}$$

This formula shows that if $\lim_{\tau\to\infty} Q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\tau) \neq 0$, then $e^{-f}\Delta_\sigma e^{-f} - (2n+1)\left|\nabla_\sigma e^{-f}\right|^2 \neq 0$ and so f cannot be constant. Lemma 8.1 tells us that the limit metric $e^{2f}\sigma_{sR}$ does not have constant qc-curvature. Finally note that the opposite is not true because $e^{-f}\Delta_\sigma e^{-f} - (2n+1)\left|\nabla_\sigma e^{-f}\right|^2$ does not have necessarily a sign. □

If we compare Q with the modified Hawking mass studied for the real hyperbolic case in [HW], Q has the disadvantage that it works only with $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant data and it does not characterize the constant curvature limit. However Proposition 8.2 suggests that, like in the case of complex hyperbolic ambient manifold [Pi2], the study of the asymptotic behaviour of Q is enough to find a family of initial data such that the limit of the rescaled metric does not have constant qc-curvature. In order to complete this goal we need to study the evolution equation of Q along the inverse mean curvature flow.

Lemma 8.3 *For any star-shaped $\mathcal{M}_0$ the following evolution equation holds:*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{dQ(\mathcal{M}_t)}{dt} &= \frac{1}{2n+1}Q(\mathcal{M}_t) - |\mathcal{M}|^{-1+\frac{1}{2n+1}}\int \frac{1}{H}\left(|A|^2 - 4(n+2)\right)d\mu \\ &\quad + |\mathcal{M}|^{-1+\frac{1}{2n+1}}\int \frac{v}{H}\left(\frac{4n-1}{\sinh^2(\rho)} - \frac{3}{\cosh^2(\rho)}\right)d\mu.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $\hat{H} = (4n-1)\frac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh(\rho)} + 3\frac{\sinh(\rho)}{\cosh(\rho)}$ and $\frac{\partial\rho}{\partial t} = \frac{v}{H}$, we can easily compute:

$$\frac{\partial\hat{H}}{\partial t} = \frac{v}{H}\left(\frac{3}{\cosh^2(\rho)} - \frac{4n-1}{\sinh^2(\rho)}\right).$$

The thesis follows using the evolution of h in Lemma 2.1 and the fact that

$$\frac{\Delta H}{H^2} - 2\frac{|\nabla H|^2}{H^3} = -\Delta\left(\frac{1}{H}\right),$$

hence its integral vanishes.

□

Now we can prove that if Q decreases, it decreases very slowly.

Proposition 8.4 *Let $\mathcal{M}_t$ an $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant star-shaped hypersurface of $\mathbb{HH}^n$ evolving by inverse mean curvature flow. The is a positive constants c such that*

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial t} \geq -ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}.$$

Proof.

In case of $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant hypersurfaces, the second fundamental form (3.5) has a simpler expression:

$$h_i^k = -\frac{\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{jk}}{v\sinh(\rho)} + \frac{\cosh(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)}\delta_i^k + \frac{\sinh(\rho)}{v\cosh(\rho)}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\delta_i^j\delta_j^k.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
|A|^2 - 4(n+2) &= h_i^k h_k^i - 4(n+2) \\
&= \frac{\varphi_{ij}\varphi_{kh}\tilde{e}^{jk}\tilde{e}^{hi}}{v^2\sinh^2(\rho)} - \frac{2\cosh(\rho)}{v^2\sinh^2(\rho)}\varphi_{ij}\tilde{e}^{ji} - 4(n+2) \\
&\quad (4n-1)\frac{\cosh^2(\rho)}{v^2\sinh^2(\rho)} + 3\frac{\sinh^2(\rho)}{v^2\cosh^2(\rho)} + \frac{6}{v^2} \\
&= \frac{\varphi_{ij}\varphi_{kh}\tilde{e}^{jk}\tilde{e}^{hi}}{v^2\sinh^2(\rho)} - \frac{2\cosh(\rho)}{v\sinh(\rho)}\left(H - \hat{H} + \hat{H}\left(\frac{1}{v} - 1\right)\right) \\
&\quad + \frac{4n-1}{v^2\sinh^2(\rho)} - \frac{3}{v^2\cosh^2(\rho)} - 4(n+2)\left(1 - \frac{1}{v^2}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Then, by Lemma 8.3, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathcal{M}_t|^{1-\frac{1}{2n+1}}\frac{\partial Q(\mathcal{M}_t)}{\partial t} &= \int\left(\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{2\cosh(\rho)}{vH\sinh(\rho)}\right)\left(H - \hat{H}\right)d\mu - \int\frac{\varphi_{ij}\varphi_{kh}\tilde{e}^{ik}\tilde{e}^{jh}}{v^2H\sinh^2(\rho)}d\mu \\
&\quad + \int\frac{1}{H}\left(\frac{4n-1}{\sinh^2(\rho)} - \frac{3}{\cosh^2(\rho)}\right)\left(v - \frac{1}{v^2}\right)d\mu \\
&\quad + \int\frac{1}{H}\left(4(n+2) - \frac{2\hat{H}\cosh(\rho)}{(v+1)\sinh(\rho)}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{v^2}\right)d\mu.
\end{aligned}$$

Since v and H are bounded away from zero, by Lemma 6.3 we get:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\varphi_{ij}\varphi_{kh}\tilde{e}^{jk}\tilde{e}^{ih}}{v^2\sinh^2(\rho)} &\leq ce^{-\frac{2t}{2n+1}}, \\
1 - \frac{1}{v^2} &\leq ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}};
\end{aligned}$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{2\cosh(\rho)}{vH\sinh(\rho)}\right| &\leq \left|\frac{1}{2n+1} - \frac{2}{H}\right| + \frac{2}{H}\left|\frac{\cosh(\rho)}{\sinh(\rho)} - 1\right| + \frac{2\cosh(\rho)}{H\sinh(\rho)}\left|1 - \frac{1}{v}\right| \\
&\leq ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}; \\
\left|H - \hat{H}\right| &\leq |H - 2(2n+1)| + \left|2(2n+1) - \hat{H}\right| \leq ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}; \\
\left(\frac{4n-1}{\sinh^2(\rho)} - \frac{3}{\cosh^2(\rho)}\right)\left(v - \frac{1}{v^2}\right) &\geq -\frac{3}{\cosh^2(\rho)}\left(1 - \frac{1}{v^2}\right)\frac{v^2+v+1}{v+1} \geq -ce^{-\frac{2t}{2n+1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally the function $\frac{1}{H}\left(4(n+2) - \frac{2\hat{H}\cosh(\rho)}{(v+1)\sinh(\rho)}\right)\left(1 - \frac{1}{v^2}\right)$ is bounded and positive for t big enough, then its integral is bigger than $-ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}$ for a suitable choice of $c > 0$. Therefore

$$\frac{\partial Q(\mathcal{M}_t)}{\partial t} \geq -c|\mathcal{M}_t|^{-1+\frac{1}{2n+1}}\int e^{-\frac{2t}{2n+1}}d\mu \geq -ce^{-\frac{t}{2n+1}}.$$

□

Now, following the strategy of [HW] and [Pi2] we can complete the proof of Theorem 1.1

Proposition 8.5 *There is an $\mathcal{M}_0$ such that the rescaled induced metric $\tilde{g}_\infty$ does not have constant qc-scalar curvature.*

Proof. Fix a positive constant c_0 big enough and choose an $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant function $f : \mathbb{S}^{4n-1} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}} e^{2(2n+1)f} d\sigma\right)^{-1+\frac{1}{2n+1}} \int_{\mathbb{S}^{4n-1}} e^{(2(2n+1))f} \left(e^{-f}\Delta_\sigma e^{-f} - (2n+1)\left|\nabla_\sigma e^{-f}\right|^2\right) d\sigma \geq 4c_0,$$

and consider the family of $\mathbb{S}^3$-invariant star-shaped hyperfurfaces $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\tau$ defined by the radial function $\tilde{\rho}^\tau(z) = \tau + f(z)$. We can fix a τ big enough such that $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\tau$ is mean convex, and, by Proposition 8.2, $Q(\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\tau) \geq 2c_0$. Let us consider $\mathcal{M}_t^\tau$, the evolution by inverse mean curvature flow of such $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}^\tau$. Since c_0 is big enough, Proposition 8.4 ensures that

$$\lim_{t\to\infty} Q(\mathcal{M}_t^\tau) \geq c_0 > 0.$$

The thesis follows from Proposition 8.2. □

References

[Bi] OLIVIER BIQUARD, *Métriques d'Einstein asymptotiquement symétriques*, Astérisque **265** (2000).

[Di] QI DING, *The inverse mean curvature flow in rotationally symmetric spaces*, Chin. Ann. Math. **32B(1)** (2011), 27 - 44.

[Du] DAVID DUCHEMIN *quaternionic contact structure in dimension* 7, Ann. Institut Fourier **56(4)** (2006), 851 - 885

[Ge] CLAUS GERHARDT, *Flow of nonconvex hypersurfaces into spheres*, J. Differential Geometry, **32** (1990), 299 - 314.

[Hu] GERHARD HUISKEN, *Contracting convex hypersurfaces in Riemannian manifolds by their mean curvature*, Invent. Math. **84(3)** (1986), 463 - 480.

[HW] PEI-KEN HUNG, MU-TAO WANG, *Inverse mean curvature flow in the hyperbolic 3-space revisited*, Calculus of variation and partial differential equations **54(1)** (2015), 119 - 126.

[IMV] STEFAN IVANOV, IVAN MINCHEV, DIMITER VASSILEV, *Solution of the qc Yamabe equation on a 3-Sasakian manifold and the quaternionic Heisenberg group*, arXiv:1504.03142 .

[IV1] STEFAN IVANOV, DIMITER VASSILEV, *Extremal for the Sobolev inequality and the quaternionic contanct Yamabe problem,* Imperial College Press Lecture Notes, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, (2011).

[IV2] STEFAN IVANOV, DIMITER VASSILEV, *The Lichnerowicz and Obata first eigenvalue theorems and the Obata uniqueness result in the Yamabe problem on CR and quaternionic contact manifolds*, arXiv:1504.03259 .

[Kr] NIKOLAI VLADIMIROVICH KRYLOV, *Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations of the secondorder,* Mathematics and its Applications (Soviet Series), 7, D. Reidel Publishing Co., Dordrecht, (1987).

[Ne] ANDRÉ NEVES, *Insufficient convergence of inverse mean curvature flow on asymptotically hyperbolic manifolds*, J. Differential Geometry **84** (2016), 191 - 229.

[Pi1] GIUSEPPE PIPOLI, *Mean curvature flow and Riemannian submersions*, Geom. Dedicata **184(1)** (2016), 67 - 81.

[Pi2] GIUSEPPE PIPOLI, *Inverse mean curvature flow in complex hyperbolic space*, arXiv:1610.01886 .

Giuseppe Pipoli, Institut Fourier, Université Grenoble Alpes, France.
E-mail: giuseppe.pipoli@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr